HARMONIC POLYNOMIAL MAPS BETWEEN SPHERES AND COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACES

GABOR TOTH*
RUTGERS UNIVERSITY
CAMDEN, NJ 08102 U.S.A.

ABSTRACT: We construct compact convex moduli spaces of harmonic maps between spheres and complex projective spaces.

§1. Introduction and generalities.

Let M be a compact oriented (isotropy) irreducible Riemannian homogeneous space. We write M = G/K, where G is a transitive Lie group of isometries of M and the isotropy subgroup K acts irreducibly on the tangent space $T_o(M)$ at the origin $o = \{K\}$. Let $\lambda \in Spec(M)$ and consider the associated (finite dimensional) eigenspace $V_{\lambda} \subset C^{\infty}(M)$. We endow V_{λ} with the normalized L_2 -scalar product \langle , \rangle defined by

$$\langle \mu, \mu' \rangle = \frac{n(\lambda) + 1}{\int \underset{M}{\int} vol(M)} \int _{M} \mu \cdot \mu' vol(M),$$

where $\mu, \mu' \in V_{\lambda}$, and $n(\lambda) + 1 = \dim V_{\lambda}$ (= multiplicity of λ). Precomposing eigenfunctions with isometries on M gives rise to an orthogonal G-module structure on V_{λ} [3]. A map $f: M \to S^n$ into the Euclidean n-sphere is said to be a λ -eigenmap if the components f^i , i = 0, ..., n, of f with respect to $S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ belong to V_{λ} . Such maps are harmonic in the sense of f. Eells and f. H. Sampson [7] and, in fact, they can be characterized as harmonic maps of constant energy density f [8]. A map $f: M \to S^n$ is said to be full if the image of f is not contained in a proper linear subspace of f is not contained in a proper linear subspace of f in the sense of f is not contained in a proper linear subspace of f in f

*Research carried out in part while the author was a member of the Institute for Advanced Study. 1980 Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 58E20, Secondary 53C42.

which is, in fact, a full (minimal) homothetic immersion. Its components comprise an orthonormal base of V_{λ} ; different choices of the base give rise to equivalent standard minimal immersions. From here on we fix $f_{\lambda}: M \to S^{n(\lambda)}$ and thereby an isomorphism $\mathbb{R}^{n(\lambda)+1} \cong V_{\lambda}$. The G- module structure of V_{λ} then carries over to a G- module structure $\rho_{\lambda}: G \to SO(n(\lambda)+1)$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n(\lambda)+1}$. By construction, $f_{\lambda}: M \to S^{n(\lambda)}$ is equivariant with respect to the homomorphism ρ_{λ} . A full λ -eigenmap $f: M \to S^n$ can then be written as $f = A \cdot f_{\lambda}$, where A is an $(n+1) \times (n(\lambda)+1)$ -matrix of maximal rank. The symmetric matrix

$$\langle f \rangle = A^t \cdot A - I_{n(\lambda)+1} \in S^2(\mathbb{R}^{n(\lambda)+1})$$

(depends only on and) represents the equivalence class of f uniquely. (From here on, we use [16] as a standard reference, cf. also [19].) The condition $\langle f, f \rangle = 1$ translates into $\langle f \rangle \in E_{\lambda}$, where E_{λ} is the orthogonal complement of

$$span\{f_{\lambda}(x)^{2}|x\in M\}\subset S^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n(\lambda)+1}),$$

where $f_{\lambda}(x)^2$ is the symmetric square of the unit vector $f_{\lambda}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n(\lambda)+1}$ which is, in fact, orthogonal projection onto $\mathbb{R} \cdot f_{\lambda}(x)$. The orthogonal complement is taken with respect to the scalar product $\langle B, B' \rangle = trace B^t \cdot B', B, B' \in S^2(\mathbb{R}^{n(\lambda)+1})$. Clearly, E_{λ} is a G-submodule of $S^2(\mathbb{R}^{n(\lambda)+1})$ with respect to the induced module structure $Ad\rho_{\lambda}$ on $S^2(\mathbb{R}^{n(\lambda)+1})$. Setting

$$L_{\lambda} = \left\{ C - I_{n(\lambda)+1} \in E_f | C \ge 0 \right\}$$

(where \geq stands for positive semidefinite) the correspondence $f \longmapsto \langle f \rangle$ gives rise to a parametrization of the equivalence classes of full λ - eigenmaps $f: M \to S^n$ by the compact convex body L_{λ} of E_{λ} . The G-module structure of E_{λ} leaves L_{λ} invariant and, on L_{λ} , the G-action is induced by precomposing λ -eigenmaps with isometries on M. For fixed $f: M \to S^n$, the isotropy subgroup $G_f = G_{\langle f \rangle} = \{g \in G | \exists U \in O(n+1) \text{ such that } f \circ g = U \circ f \}$ is nothing but the (maximal) symmetry group of f. The classification problem for λ -eigenmaps posed above can then be translated into the problem of understanding the geometry of L_{λ} (in particular, its boundary ∂L_{λ}) in E_{λ} . As a first step we introduce a natural cell structure of L_{λ} as follows. For a fixed full λ -eigenmap $f: M \to S^n$, define

$$E_f = (span\{f(x)^2)|x \in M\})^{\perp} \in S^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$$

and

$$L_f = \{C - I_{n+1} \in E_f | C \ge 0\}.$$

Then the affine map $\phi: L_f \to L_\lambda$ defined by $\phi(C - I_{n+1}) = A^t \cdot C \cdot A - I_{n(\lambda)+1}$, injects L_f onto a compact convex set \bar{I}_f containing $\langle f \rangle$. For a full λ -eigenmap

 $f': M \to S^{n'}, \langle f' \rangle \in \bar{I}_f$ iff $f' = A' \cdot f$ for some $(n'+1) \times (n+1)$ -matrix A' of maximal rank, or equivalently, iff the components of f' are contained in the linear span of the components of f in V_{λ} . Denoting by A_f the affine subspace of E_{λ} spanned by \bar{I}_f , we have $A_f \cap L_{\lambda} = \bar{I}_f$ and the interior I_f of \bar{I}_f in A_f is a convex body containing $\langle f \rangle$. The convex sets I_f , for the various f, give rise to a cell decomposition of L_f . Clearly, $I_{f_{\lambda}} = int L_{\lambda}$ and when passing to the boundary of a cell the range dimension of the corresponding full λ -eigenmaps decrease. Note also that the range dimension is constant on any I_f , in particular, it is $n(\lambda)$ on $I_{f_{\lambda}}$. For $g \in G$, we have $g \cdot I_f = I_{f \circ g^{-1}}$ so that the G-action on L_{λ} respects the cell structure. We now subdivide the classification problem introduced above into the following problems:

I. Compute dim $L_{\lambda} = \dim E_{\lambda}$.

II. Decompose $E_{\lambda}(\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C})$ into irreducible components and determine the highest weight vectors of the components.

III. Describe the cell structure of L_{λ} modulo the action of G.

Almost nothing is known for rank $M \geq 2$ (cf. [17]). For M rank 1, E_{λ} is the sum of those irreducible G- submodules of $S^2(\mathbb{R}^{n(\lambda)+1})$ which are not class 1 with respect to (G,K) (i.e. which when restricted to K do not contain the trivial K-module) [17]. For $M=S^m$, I and II have completely been resolved [16]; III is known for the first nonrigid range $(m=3 \text{ and } \lambda=8)$ [19] while for $m\geq 5$ odd a cell on ∂L_{λ} is known corresponding to λ -eigenmaps arising from the Hopf-Whitehead construction applied to orthogonal multiplications [18]. For $M=\mathbb{C}P^m$, I has been resolved in [1] using subtle representation theory while, for II, some components of E_{λ} have been determined previously [11,12,20]. Some components of E_{λ} have also been discovered for $M=\mathbb{H}P^m$ whose dimensions thereby give a lower bound for I.

In §2 we treat the spherical case and select among the legion of examples some classical and recent ones of interest. The results are then applied in §3 to construct specific cells on ∂L_{λ} which give rise to a massive amount of new examples for harmonic nonholomorphic maps between complex projective spaces; an other fundamental question in harmonic map theory (cf. [8]). They include those discovered by A. Din and W. Zakrzewski [5,6] and further classified by J. Eells and J.C. Wood [9].

§2. Harmonic polynomial maps between spheres.

For $M=S^m$ with (G,K)=(SO(m+1),SO(m)), we have $\lambda=\lambda_a=a(a+m-1)\in Spec(S^m)$ and the associated eigenspace V_{λ_a} is nothing but the irreducible SO(m+1)-module of spherical harmonics of order a on $S^m\subset \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ [3]. To simplify the notation, from now on, we write $V_{\lambda_a}=V_a$, $E_{\lambda_a}=E_a$, etc. By the rigidity theorem of E. Calabi [4], for m=2, we have $L_a=\{0\}$, i.e. the

only full λ_a -eigenmap is $f_a: S^2 \to S^{2a}$, the standard minimal immersion, which is nothing but the classical Veronese surface in S^{2a} [3]. For a=1 it is elementary that $L_a=\{0\}$. However, for $m\geq 3$ and $k\geq 2$, we have $\dim L_a>0$. More precisely, for m=3,

$$E_a \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C} \cong \sum_{\substack{(b,c) \in \Delta_a \\ b,c \text{ even}}} \left\{ V_3^{(b,c)} \oplus V_3^{(b,-c)} \right\}$$

and, for $m \ge 4$,

$$E_a \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C} \cong \sum_{\substack{(b,c) \in \Delta_a \\ b,c \text{ even}}} V_m^{(b,c,0,\ldots 0)},$$

where $\Delta_a \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the closed triangle with vertices (2,2),(a,a) and (2a-2,2) and V_m^ρ is the (complex) irreducible SO(m+1) -module with highest weight $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, ..., \rho_l) \in (1/2 \cdot \mathbb{Z})^l$, l = [(m+1)/2] (cf. [16]). Applying the Weyl dimension formula, dim L_a can be computed.

The first nonrigid range m=3 and a=2, i.e. the structure of full quadratic eigenmaps $f:S^3\to S^n$, is of particular interest [19]. Using coordinates (x,y,u,v) on $S^3\subset \mathbb{R}^4$, let $f_n:S^3\to S^n,2\leq n\leq 8,n\neq 3$, be defined by $f_n(x,y,u,v)=$

$$(x^{2} + y^{2} - u^{2} - v^{2}, 2(xu - yv), 2(xv + yu)), (Hopf map), n = 2$$

$$(x^{2} + y^{2} - u^{2} - v^{2}, 2xu, 2xv, 2yu, 2yv), n = 4$$

$$(x^{2} - y^{2}, u^{2} - v^{2}, 2xy, \sqrt{2}(xu + yv), \sqrt{2}(yu - xv), 2uv), n = 5$$

$$(1/\sqrt{2}(x^{2} + y^{2} - u^{2} - v^{2}), 1/\sqrt{2}(x^{2} - y^{2}), 1/\sqrt{2}(u^{2} - v^{2}),$$

$$\sqrt{2}xy, \sqrt{3}(xu + yv), \sqrt{3}(yu - xv), \sqrt{2}uv), n = 6$$

$$(x^{2} - y^{2}, u^{2} - v^{2}, 2xy, \sqrt{2}xu, \sqrt{2}xv, \sqrt{2}yu, \sqrt{2}yv, 2uv), n = 7$$

$$f_{\lambda_{2}}(x, y, u, v), (f_{\lambda_{2}} a standard minimal immersion), n = 8.$$

Then, $I_{f_n}, 2 \leq n \leq 8, n \neq 3$, comprise all cells of L_2 modulo the action of O(4). Moreover, $I_{f_2} = \text{point}$, $I_{f_4} = \text{segment}$, $I_{f_5} = 2\text{-disk}$, $I_{f_6} = (\text{finite})$ solid cone, dim $I_{f_7} = 5$ and dim $I_{f_8} = 10$. Note also that the O(4)-orbit of the point $\langle f \rangle$ corresponding to the Hopf map $f_2: S^3 \to S^2$ has 2 components which are imbedded in the appropriate 4-spheres of the 5-dimensional components $V_3^{(2,2)}$ and $V_3^{(2,-2)}$ of E_2 as Veronese surfaces.

An other discovery of cells in ∂L_2 is offered by the Hopf-Whitehead construction. Recall that a bilinear map $F: \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is called an *orthogonal multiplication* if $|F(x,y)| = |x| \cdot |y|, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$. For given F, the map $f_F: S^{2m+1} \to S^n$ defined by

$$f(x,y) = (|x|^2 - |y|^2, 2F(x,y)),$$

 $x,y \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}, |x|^2 + |y|^2 = 1,$

is a quadratic eigenmap (which is full iff F is surjective) [2]. Notice that f_2 and f_4 introduced above correspond to complex multiplication and real tensor product on \mathbb{R}^2 , respectively. In general, we consider the cell $\bar{I}_{\otimes} = \bar{I}_{f_{\otimes}} \subset \partial L_2$, where $f_{\otimes}: S^{2m+1} \to S^{(m+1)^2}$ is associated with the tensor product $\otimes: \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{(m+1)^2}$. Since f_{\otimes} is equivariant with respect to $\rho_{\otimes} = \otimes: SO(m+1) \times SO(m+1) \to SO((m+1)^2)$, the point $\langle f_{\otimes} \rangle \in \bar{I}_{\otimes}$ is left fixed by $SO(m+1) \times SO(m+1)$ so that setting it as the origin of the affine span A_{\otimes} of \bar{I}_{\otimes} , we obtain an $SO(m+1) \times SO(m+1)$ -module A_{\otimes} . In fact, $A_{\otimes} \cong so(m+1) \otimes so(m+1)$, where on the right hand side the module structure is given by $Ad \otimes Ad$ [18]. It follows that dim $\bar{I}_{\otimes} = (m(m+1)/2)^2$. Note that, for $m = 1, 2, \bar{I}_{\otimes}$ has been determined by M. Parker explicitly [13].

§3. Harmonic polynomial maps between complex projective spaces.

For $p>q\geq 0$, p+q=a, let $\mathcal{H}^{p,q}$ be the (complex) irreducible U(m+1)-module of complex harmonic polynomials on C^{m+1} of bidegree (p,q) [3]. A base $\{f_{p,q}^i\}_{i=0}^{n(p,q)}\subset\mathcal{H}^{p,q},\dim_{\mathbf{C}}\mathcal{H}^{p,q}=n(p,q)+1$, with respect to a normalized Hermitian L_2 -scalar product on $\mathcal{H}^{p,q}$ induces a full λ_a -eigenmap $f_{p,q}:S^{2m+1}\to S^{2n(p,q)+1}$, where the components of $f_{p,q}$ are $\{Re(f_{p,q}^i),Im(f_{p,q}^i)\}_{i=0}^{n(p,q)}$. Then, $f_{p,q}$ is equivariant with respect to the homomorphism $\rho_{p,q}:U(m+1)\to SO(2(n(p,q)+1))$. Moreover as the central (diagonal) subgroup $S^1\subset U(m+1)$ acts on $\mathcal{H}^{p,q}$ via $\rho_{p,q}$ by the single weight p-q, the map $f_{p,q}$ projects down to a map $\tilde{f}_{p,q}:CP^m\to CP^{n(p,q)}$ such that $\pi\circ f_{p,q}=\tilde{f}_{p,q}\circ\pi$, where π stands for the respective Hopf maps.

LEMMA. The map $f_{p,q}: S^{2m+1} \to S^{2n(p,q)+1}$ is horizontal with respect to $\pi: S^{2m+1} \to \mathbb{C}P^m$, i.e. $(f_{p,q})_*(ker\pi_*)$ and $(f_{p,q})_*((ker\pi_*)^{\perp})$ are orthogonal in $T(S^{2n(p,q)+1})$

PROOF: For $z \in S^{2m+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$, the horizontal subspace $(ker\pi_*)_z^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathbb{C} \cdot z$ in \mathbb{C}^{m+1} (shifted to z). Given $w \in (ker\pi_*)_z^{\perp}$, we have to show that

$$\langle df_{p,q}(w), df_{p,q}(iz) \rangle = 0,$$

where we used Hermitian scalar product in $C^{n(p,q)+1}$ and iz is considered in $T_s(S^{2m+1})$. By homogeneity, we have

$$df_{p,q}(iz) = \frac{d}{dt} f_{p,q}(e^{it}z)|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt} e^{i(p-q)t}|_{t=0} \cdot f_{p,q}(z)$$
$$= i(p-q) f_{p,q}(z) = i \frac{p-q}{a} df_{p,q}(z).$$

On the other hand, differentiating $|f_{p,q}(\cos t \cdot z + \sin t \cdot w)|^2 = 1$ at t = 0, we obtain $\langle df_{p,q}(w), df_{p,q}(z) \rangle = 0$ and the proof is complete.

Applying the Reduction Theorem of R.T. Smith [15], we obtain that $\tilde{f}_{p,q}$: $\mathbb{C}P^m \to \mathbb{C}P^{n(p,q)}$ is a harmonic map.

1. For m = 1, n(p,q) + 1 = (p+1)(q+1) - pq = p+q+1 = a+1 we obtain the harmonic maps $\tilde{f}_{p,q}:\mathbb{C}P^1\to\mathbb{C}P^a$. They and their conjugates comprise all harmonic maps of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ into $\mathbb{C}P^a$. (For a even we also have to add $\tilde{f}_{a/2,a/2}:\mathbb{C}P^1\to\mathbb{C}P^a$ which is induced by $f_{a/2,a/2}: S^3 \to S^{2a+1}$ which is not full.) These are the harmonic maps which were discovered by A. Din and W. Zakrzewski [5,6] and classified by J. Eells

2. For q=0, the map $\tilde{f}_{a,0}=\tilde{v}_a: \mathbb{C}P^m\to \mathbb{C}P^{n(a,0)}, n(a,0)=\binom{m+a}{a}$ is nothing but the (holomorphic) Veronese map [14] induced by $v_a: S^{2m+1}\to S^{2n(a,0)+1}$, where

hic) Veronese map [14] industry
$$v_a(z_0,...,z_m) = ((a!/i_0!...i_m!)^{1/2} z_0^{i_0} ... z_m^{i_m})_{\substack{i_0,...,i_m \geq 0 \\ i_0,...,i_m \geq 0}}$$

We now take $\bar{I}_{p,q} = \bar{I}_{f_{p,q}}$ and intersect it with the linear subspace $Fix_{Ad\rho_a}(S^1, E_a)$ to obtain the convex set $Fix_{Ad\rho_a}(S^1, \bar{I}_{p,q})$. Given a full λ_a -eigenmap $f: S^{2m+1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ S^N with $\langle f \rangle$ in the intersection, we have $f = A \cdot f_{p,q}$ for some $(N+1) \times (2(n(p,q)+1))$ matrix A of maximal rank. Moreover, as $\langle f \rangle \in Fix_{Ad\rho_a}(S^1, E_a)$, the map f is equivariant with respect to a homomorphism $\rho: S^1 \to SO(N+1)$ and $A: \mathbb{R}^{2(n(p,q)+1)} \to$ \mathbb{R}^{N+1} is intertwining between ρ_a and ρ . As ρ acts on $\mathbb{R}^{2(n(p,q)+1)}$ with the single weight p-q(>0) the same holds for ρ , in particular, N=2n+1 is odd and $A: \mathbb{C}^{n(p,q)+1} \to \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is complex linear. By equivariance, f projects down to a map $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{C}P^m \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ such that $\pi \circ f = \tilde{f} \circ \pi$. Repeating the proof of the previous lemma, it follows that f is horizontal with respect to $\pi: S^{2m+1} \to \mathbb{C}P^m$ so that $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{C}P^m \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ is harmonic. It follows that the convex set $Fix_{Ad\rho_a}(S^1, \bar{I}_{p,q})$ parametrizes the harmonic maps $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{C}P^m \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ obtained in the above manner.

REMARK: Examples are easy to construct. For instance, for m = 2 and p = 2,q =1,

$$f(z, w, t) = (\sqrt{7/8}(|z|^2 - 2|w|^2)z, \sqrt{1/8}(|w|^2 - 2|z|^2)w, \sqrt{7/8}(|w|^2 - 2|t|^2)w, \sqrt{1/8}(|z|^2 - 2|t|^2)z, \sqrt{1/8}(|t|^2 - 2|w|^2)t, \sqrt{7/8}(|t|^2 - 2|z|^2)t, \sqrt{1/8}(|z|^2 - 2|t|^2)z, \sqrt{6}z^2\bar{w}, \sqrt{6}w^2\bar{t}, \sqrt{6}t^2\bar{z}, \sqrt{6}\bar{z}wt)$$

gives rise to a harmonic map $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{C}P^2 \to \mathbb{C}P^9$.

Returning to the general situation, we first note that $f_{p,q}: S^{2m+1} \to S^{2n(p,q)+1}$ is equivariant with respect to the homomorphism $ho_{p,q}:U(m+1) o SO(2(n(p,q)+1))$ 1)) corresponding to the U(m+1)-module structure on $\mathcal{X}^{p,q} \cong \mathbb{C}^{n(p,q)+1}$. Setting $\langle f_{p,q} \rangle$ as the origin of the affine span $\mathcal{E}_{p,q}$ of $Fix_{Ad\rho_a}(S^1, \bar{I}_{p,q})$ we obtain that $\mathcal{E}_{p,q}$ is a U(m+1)-module and

$$\mathcal{E}_{p,q}\cong Fix_{Ad\rho_a}(S^1,E_{p,q})$$

as U(m+1)-modules, where $E_{p,q}=E_{f_{p,q}}$ (cf.§1). Let $\bar{E}_{p,q}$ be the sum of those irreducible U(m+1)- submodules of $S^2(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{R}}^{p,q})$ ($\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{R}}^{p,q}$) which do not contain U(m)-fixed vectors.

PROPOSITION. $\vec{E}_{p,q} \subset E_{p,q}$.

PROOF: Let $p: S^2(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}^{p,q}) \to W_0$ denote the orthogonal projection, where $W_0 = \mathbb{R} \cdot f_{p,q}(o)^2$ and $o = (1,0,...,0) \in S^{2m+1}$ is the base point left fixed by U(m). Consider the induced representation

$$I = Ind_{U(m)}^{U(m+1)}(W_0) = \{\psi : U(m+1) \rightarrow W_0 | \psi(u \cdot v) = u \cdot \psi(v), u \in U(m), v \in U(m+1), \psi \text{ continuous } \}.$$

For $\sigma \in S^2(\mathcal{X}^{p,q}_{\mathbf{R}})$ we define the map $\Psi(\sigma): U(m+1) \to W_0$ by $\Psi(\sigma)(v) = p(v \cdot \sigma), v \in U(m+1)$. Then $\Psi(\sigma) \in I$ so that we obtain a homomorphism $\Psi: S^2(\mathcal{X}^{p,q}_{\mathbf{R}}) \to I$ of U(m+1)-modules. We have $\ker \Psi = (span\{U(m+1) \cdot W_0\})^{\perp} = (span\{f(x)^2 | x \in S^{2m+1}\})^{\perp} = E_{p,q}$ so that $im\Psi = E_{p,q}^{\perp} \subset I$ as U(m+1)-modules. By Frobenius reciprocity [21], we have $\dim \hom_{U(m+1)}(\bar{E}_{p,q}, E_{p,q}^{\perp}) \leq \dim \hom_{U(m+1)}(\bar{E}_{p,q}, I) = \dim \hom_{U(m)}(\bar{E}_{p,q}, W_0) = 0$ and the claim follows.

By the proposition above a lower estimate on dim $Fix_{Ad\rho_a}(S^1, \bar{I}_{p,q}) = \dim \mathcal{E}_{p,q}$ is provided by

$$L(p,q) = \dim Fix_{Ad\rho_a}(S^1, \bar{E}_{p,q}).$$

To enumerate L(p,q), we complexify

$$Fix_{Ad\rho_a}(S^1, S^2(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{R}}^{p,q})) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$$

$$= Fix_{Ad\rho_a}(S^1, S^2(\mathcal{X}^{p,q} \oplus \mathcal{X}^{q,p}))$$

$$= \mathcal{X}^{p,q} \otimes \mathcal{X}^{q,p} \oplus \mathcal{X}^{q,p} \otimes \mathcal{X}^{p,q}$$

and obtain that

$$Fix_{Ado_a}(S^1, \bar{E}_{p,q} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}) \subset \mathcal{X}^{p,q} \otimes \mathcal{X}^{q,p} \oplus \mathcal{X}^{q,p} \otimes \mathcal{X}^{p,q}$$

is the sum of those irreducible U(m+1)-submodules which do not contain U(m)-fixed vectors. This is just the condition for spherical harmonics $\mathcal{X}^{b,b}$ so that we obtain.

$$egin{aligned} L(p,q) &= 2 \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{X}^{p,q} \otimes \mathcal{X}^{p,q} \ &- 2 \sum_{b} m \left[\mathcal{X}^{b,b} : \mathcal{X}^{p,q} \otimes \mathcal{X}^{p,q}
ight] \cdot \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{X}^{b,b}. \end{aligned}$$

For $m, a \geq 4$, the Littlewood-Richardson rule [10,22] gives the multiplicity

$$m\left[\mathcal{X}^{b,b}:\mathcal{X}^{p,q}\otimes\mathcal{X}^{q,p}\right]=\min\{b+1,q+1,a-b+1\}$$

(cf. also [1]).

Since

$$\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{H}^{p,q} = \binom{m+p}{p} \binom{m+q}{q} - \binom{m+p-1}{p-1} \binom{m+q-1}{q-1}$$

we finally get, for $m, a \geq 4$,

$$\dim Fix_{Ad\rho_a}(S^1, \bar{I}_{p,q}) \geq L(p,q) = 2\left[\binom{p+m}{p}\binom{m+q}{q} - \binom{m+p-1}{p-1}\binom{m+q-1}{q-1}\right]^2 \\ -2\sum_{b=0}^a \min\{b+1, q+1, a-b+1\} \left[\binom{m+b}{b}^2 - \binom{m+b-1}{b-1}^2\right].$$

REMARKS:

1. Enumerating, for m = a = 4, we find

$$L(3,1) = 36,600.$$

2. For q=0, L(a,0)=0. In fact, as can be easily shown, $Fix_{Ad\rho_a}(S^1,\bar{I}_{a,0})=$ $\{\langle v_a \rangle\}.$

References

- 1. D.Barbasch, J. Glazebrook and G. Toth, Harmonic maps of complex projective spaces into quotients of spheres, Comm. Math. Helv. (to appear).
- 2. P. Baird, Harmonic maps with Symmetry, Pitman, 1983.
- 3. M. Berger, P. Gauduchon and E. Mazet, Le spectre d'une variété riemannienne, Lecture Notes in Math. No. 194, Springer, New York, 1971.
- 4. E. Calabi, Minimal immersions of surfaces in Euclidean spheres, J. Diff. Geom.
- 5. A. Din and W. Zakrzewski, General classical solutions in the $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ model, Nucl. Phys. B 174 (1980) 397- 406.
- 6. A. Din and W. Zakrzewski, Properties of the general classical $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ model, Phys. Letters 95 B (1980) 419-422.
- 7. J. Eells and J. H. Sampson, Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds, Amer.
- 8. J. Eells and L. Lemaire, Selected topics in harmonic maps, Reg. Conf. Ser. in Math. No.50, AMS, 1982.

- 9. J. Eells and J. C. Wood, Harmonic maps from surfaces to complex projective spaces, Adv. in Math. Vol. 49, No.3(1983) 217-263.
- 10. G.D. James, The representation theory of the symmetric group, Lecture Notes in Math. No. 682, Springer, New York, 1978.
- 11. K. Mashimo, Degree of the standard isometric minimal immersions of complex projective spaces into spheres, Tsukuba J. Math. Vol. 4 (1980) 133-135.
- 12. K. Mashimo, Minimal immersions of quaternion projective spaces into spheres, preprint.
- 13. M. Parker, Orthogonal multiplications in small dimensions, Bull. London Math. Soc. 15(1983) 368-372.
- 14. I. Shafarevich, Basic algebraic geometry, Springer, New York, 1977.
- 15. R.T. Smith, Harmonic mappings of spheres, Thesis, Warwick University, 1972.
- 16. G. Toth, Harmonic and minimal maps, E. Horwood Series, Halsted Press, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1984.
- 17. G. Toth, On nonrigidity of harmonic maps into spheres, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 94, No.4 (1985) 711-714.
- 18. G. Toth, On classification of orthogonal multiplications á la DoCarmo-Wallach, Geom. Dedicata 22(1987) 251-254.
- 19. G. Toth, Classification of quadratic harmonic maps of S³ into spheres, Indiana Univ. Math. J. Vol. 36, No.2 (1987) 231-239.
- 20.H. Urakawa, Minimal immersions of projective spaces into spheres, Tsukuba J. Math. Vol. 9,No.2 (1985) 321-347.
- 21. N.R. Wallach, Minimal immersions of symmetric spaces into spheres, in "Symmetric Spaces", Dekker, New York (1972) 1- 40.
- 22. H. Weyl, The Classical groups, Princeton Univ. Press 1946.