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## 1. Introduction

A map $f: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ of a compact oriented Riemannian manifold $M$ into a complete Riemannian manifold $M^{\prime}$ is harmonic if its energy $E(f)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}\left\|f_{*}\right\|^{2} \operatorname{vol}(M)$ is stable to first order with respect to variations of $f$ [2]. Though, by the work of T. Sunada [14], harmonic maps into nonpositively curved codomains are globally rigid, an essential obstruction to proving rigidity in the case when Riem ${ }^{M^{\prime}} \geqslant 0$ is the lack of Hartman's uniqueness [3]. On the other hand it has long been noticed that, in all cases, harmonic maps behave nicely with respect to infinitesimal deformations preserving harmonicity up to second order, that is, the second variation formula [8, 13] and Jacobi fields along harmonic maps have been proved to be useful in showing rigidity of harmonic maps (see $[2,6,9,10,11,12,13]$ ).

The purpose of this paper is to study harmonic maps into spheres with various rigidity properties when higher order terms of the expansion of the energy functional along a variation are also taken into account. In Section 2 we define the (geodesic) variations of a harmonic map given by translating the map along geodesics of a prescribed Jacobi field along this map. The concept of harmonic variation is introduced [15] and its close relationship with the Jacobi fields is indicated (Theorem 1). In Section 3 infinitesimal and local rigidity of harmonic maps are studied in detail; for example, by reducing the problem to that of the linear algebra, we show that harmonic embeddings $f: S^{m} \rightarrow S^{n}$ with energy density $e(f)=m / 2$ are rigid (Theorem 2). (For examples of nonrigid harmonic embeddings, see [17].) In Section 4 certain metric spaces of locally rigid harmonic maps are introduced and their classification is reduced to that of the canonical inclusion map $i: S^{m} \rightarrow S^{n}$.

Throughout this note all manifolds, maps, bundles, etc. will be smooth, that is, of class $C^{\infty}$. The report [2] is our general reference, adopting the sign conventions of [5].

We thank Prof. J. Eells and Prof. A. Lichnerowicz for their valuable suggestions and encouragement at the conference on invariant metrics, harmonic mappings and related topics held in Rome in 1981. We also thank Prof. A. Lee for useful discussions on the matrix calculus used in this paper and for giving a proof of Lemma 2.

## 2. Jacobi fields and harmonic variations

Let $f: M \rightarrow S^{n}$ be a map of a compact oriented Riemannian manifold $M$ of dimension $m$ into the Euclidean $n$-sphere $S^{n}$. A vector field $v$ along $f$, that is, a section of the pull-back bundle $F=f^{*}\left(T\left(S^{n}\right)\right.$ ), gives rise to a (geodesic, 1-parameter)
variation $t \rightarrow f_{t}=\exp \circ(t v), t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $v \in C^{\infty}(F)$ is said to be a harmonic variation if the maps $f_{t}: M \rightarrow S^{n}$ are harmonic [2] for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The set of all harmonic variations (or the variation space) of the given map $f$ is denoted by $V(f) \subset C^{\infty}(F)$.

If $t \rightarrow f_{t}, t \in \mathbb{R}$, is an arbitrary (not necessarily geodesic) variation of $f$ through harmonic maps then $v=\left.\frac{\partial f_{t}}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}$ is a Jacobi fieid along $f$ [2], that is, $v$ is a solution of the Jacobi equation

$$
J_{f} v=-\nabla^{2} v+\operatorname{trace} R\left(f_{*}, v\right) f_{*}=0
$$

where $\nabla$ is the induced connection of the bundle $\xi=F \otimes \Lambda^{*}\left(T^{*}(M)\right) ; \nabla^{2} v$ is the trace of the bilinear form $(X, Y) \rightarrow \nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y} v-\nabla_{\nabla_{X} Y} v$ (see [11]); $f_{*} \in C^{\infty}(\xi)$ is the differential of $f$ and $R$ denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor of $S^{n}$. The differential operator $J_{f} \in \operatorname{Diff}_{2}(F, F)$ is strongly elliptic [11] over the compact manifold $M$, and hence solutions of the Jacobi equation have uniqueness in the Cauchy problem; the nullity $\operatorname{Null}(f)=\operatorname{dim} J(f), J(f)=\operatorname{ker} J_{f}$, and the Morse index of $f$ (that is, card \{eigenvalues $\left.\left(J_{f}\right)<0\right\}$ ) are finite. By a recent theorem of P.F. Leung [6] the index of a nonconstant harmonic map $f: M \rightarrow S^{n}$, with $n \geqslant 3$, is strictly positive; by an earlier result of R.T.Smith, index $\left(i d_{s^{n}}\right)=n+1$ [13]. For the nullity of $i d_{s^{n}}$ see [13] and Section 3 below.

Theorem 1. Let $v$ be a Jacobi field along the harmonic map $f: M \rightarrow S^{n}$ and write

$$
T(v)=\left\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid f_{t}=\exp \circ(t v) \text { is harmonic }\right\}
$$

Then the following cases can occur:
(1) $T(v)$ consists of at most two points (including 0 ) and $\|v\|$ is not constant,
(2) $\|v\|$ is a non-zero constant and $T(v)=\frac{\pi}{\|v\|} \mathbb{Z}$,
(3) $T(v)=\mathbb{R}$, that is, $v \in V(f)$, and this is the case if and only if $\|v\|$ is constant and trace $\left\langle f_{*}, \nabla v\right\rangle=0$.

Proof. Given $0 \neq v \in C^{\infty}(F)$ the induced variation

$$
t \longrightarrow\left(f_{t}\right)_{*} \in C^{\infty}\left(F^{t} \otimes T^{*}(M)\right), \quad F^{t}=\left(f_{t}\right)^{*}\left(T\left(S^{n}\right)\right),
$$

can be conveniently described by considering the 1 -forms

$$
P_{t}(t)=\left(\tau_{0}^{t}[v] \otimes i d_{T^{*}(M)}\right)\left(f_{t}\right)_{*} \in C^{\infty}\left(F \otimes T^{*}(M)\right)
$$

 transport along the geodesic segments $t \rightarrow f_{t}(x), t \in\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right]$ (or $t \in\left[t^{\prime \prime}, t^{\prime}\right]$ ), $x \in M$. For simplicity, we write $\tau_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime}}=\tau_{t^{\prime},}^{t^{\prime}}[v] \otimes i d_{\Lambda^{*}\left(T^{*}(M)\right)}$ and omit 0 in $f_{0}, \tau_{0}^{t^{\prime}}$, etc. Then $t \rightarrow\left(f_{t}\right)_{*} X_{x}$, with $X_{x} \in T_{x}(M), x \in M$, is a Jacobi field along $t \rightarrow f_{t}(x), t \in \mathbb{R}$, and applying $\tau^{t}$ to both sides of the corresponding Jacobi equation and omitting $X_{x}$ we
obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} P_{v}(t)}{d t^{2}}+R\left(P_{v}(t), v\right) v=0 \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial data

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{v}(0)=f_{*} \quad \text { and }\left.\quad \frac{d P_{v}(t)}{d t}\right|_{t=0}=\nabla v \tag{B}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. [15, 16]). Using the well-known formula [5, Vol. I, p. 203], we have

$$
R(X, Y) Z=\langle Y, Z\rangle X-\langle X, Z\rangle Y, \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}\left(S^{n}\right)
$$

the initial value problem (A) with (B) can be solved explicitly

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{v}(t)=\left\langle f_{*}+t \nabla v, \frac{v}{\|v\|}\right\rangle \frac{v}{\|v\|} & +\cos (t\|v\|)\left(f_{*}-\left\langle f_{*}, \frac{v}{\|v\|}\right\rangle \frac{v}{\|v\|}\right) \\
& +\frac{\sin (t\|v\|)}{\|v\|}\left(\nabla v-\left\langle\nabla v, \frac{v}{\|v\|}\right\rangle \frac{v}{\|v\|}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(in the case when $v_{x}=0$ we take $P_{v}(t)=f_{*}+t \nabla v$ at $x \in M$ ). In order to compute the tension field $\tau\left(f_{t}\right)=\operatorname{div}\left(f_{t}\right)_{*} \in C^{\infty}\left(F^{t}\right)$ [2] in terms of $f_{*}$ and $v$ we need the identity

$$
\left(\tau^{t} \circ \nabla^{t} \circ \tau_{t}\right) w-\nabla w=-R\left(\int_{0}^{t} P_{v}(s) d s, v\right) w, \quad w \in C^{\infty}(F)
$$

relating the induced connections $\nabla^{t}$ and $\nabla$ on $\xi^{t}=F^{t} \otimes \Lambda^{*}\left(T^{*}(M)\right)$ and $\xi$, respectively. (This can be shown directly by using local symmetricity of $S^{n}$ and the definition of $R$ by parallel transport; see also [15].) Then, as in [16], we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau^{t}\left(\tau\left(f_{t}\right)\right) & =\tau^{t} \operatorname{trace}\left\{\nabla^{t}\left(f_{t}\right)_{*}\right\}=\operatorname{trace}\left\{\left(\tau^{t} \circ \nabla^{t} \circ \tau_{t}\right) P_{v}(t)\right\} \\
& =-\left(\nabla^{*} P_{v}(t)+\operatorname{trace}\left\{R\left(\int_{0}^{t} P_{v}(s) d s, v\right) P_{v}(t)\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

in particular, $f_{t}: M \rightarrow S^{n}$ is harmonic if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(v, t)=\nabla^{*} P_{v}(t)+\operatorname{trace}\left\{R\left(\int_{0}^{t} P_{v}(s) d s, v\right) P_{v}(t)\right\}=0 \tag{C}
\end{equation*}
$$

On substituting the solution $P_{v}(t)$ of (A), (B) into (C) an admittedly long calculation
yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi(v, t) & =\cos (t\|v\|) \nabla^{*} f_{*}-\frac{\sin (t\|v\|)}{\|v\|} \nabla^{2} v \\
& +\frac{\sin (t\|v\|)}{\|v\|} \operatorname{trace}\left\{R\left(f_{*}, v\right) f_{*}\right\} \\
& +\frac{2 t \sin (t\|v\|)}{\|v\|} \operatorname{trace}\left\{R\left(f_{*}, v\right) \nabla v\right\} \\
& +\frac{2 \sin (t\|v\|)-2 t\|v\| \cos (t\|v\|)}{\|v\|^{3}} \operatorname{trace}\{R(\nabla v, v) \nabla v\} \\
& -\frac{\cos (t\|v\|)-1}{\|v\|^{2}}\left\langle\nabla^{*} f_{*}, v\right\rangle v+\frac{\sin (t\|v\|)-t\|v\|}{\|v\|^{3}}\left\langle\nabla^{2} v, v\right\rangle v \\
& +\frac{\sin (2 t\|v\|)-2 \sin (t\|v\|)}{2\|v\|^{3}} \operatorname{trace}\left\{\left\langle R\left(f_{*}, v\right) f_{*}, v\right\rangle\right\} v \\
& +\frac{-\cos (2 t\|v\|)-2 t\|v\| \sin (t\|v\|)+1}{\|v\|^{4}} \operatorname{trace}\left\{\left\langle R\left(f_{*}, v\right) \nabla v, v\right\rangle\right\} v \\
& +\frac{-\sin (2 t\|v\|)-4 \sin (t\|v\|)+4 t\|v\| \cos (t\|v\|)+2 t\|v\|}{2\|v\|^{5}} \operatorname{trace}\{\langle R(\nabla v, v) \nabla v, v\rangle\} v
\end{aligned}
$$

(in the case when $v_{x}=0$ we take the corresponding limits). Assume now that $f: M \rightarrow S^{n}$ is harmonic and $v \in J(f)$. By Cauchy uniqueness, the complement $M^{\prime}$ of the zero locus of $\|v\|$ (not identically zero) is dense (and open) in $M$. Let $0 \neq t \in T(v)$ be fixed. Using the Jacobi equation and the explicit formula for $\Psi(v, t)$ above, a routine calculation shows that the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\Psi(v, t), v\rangle= & \frac{1-\cos (2 t\|v\|)}{\|v\|^{2}} \operatorname{trace}\left\langle R\left(f_{*}, v\right) \nabla v, v\right\rangle \\
& +\frac{\sin (2 t\|v\|)-2 t\|v\|}{2\|v\|^{3}}\left\{\|v\|^{2}\left\langle\nabla^{2} v, v\right\rangle-\operatorname{trace}\langle R(\nabla v, v) \nabla v, v\rangle\right\}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

holds on $M^{\prime}$. If $0 \neq t^{\prime} \in T(v)$ we get $\left(\frac{1-\cos (2 t\|v\|)}{\sin (2 t\|v\|)-2 t\|v\|}-\frac{1-\cos \left(2 t^{\prime}\|v\|\right)}{\sin \left(2 t^{\prime}\|v\|\right)-2 t^{\prime}\|v\|}\right) 2\|v\| \operatorname{trace}\left\langle R\left(f_{*}, v\right) \nabla v, v\right\rangle=0 \quad$ (on $M^{\prime}$ ).

Write $U \subset M^{\prime}$ for the (possibly empty) complement of the zero locus of $\operatorname{trace}\left\langle R\left(f_{*}, v\right) \nabla v, v\right\rangle$. Then

$$
\frac{1-\cos (2 t\|v\|)}{\sin (2 t\|v\|)-2 t\|v\|}=\frac{1-\cos \left(2 t^{\prime}\|v\|\right)}{\sin \left(2 t^{\prime}\|v\|\right)-2 t^{\prime}\|v\|}
$$

is valid on $U$. Assuming that $\|v \mid U\|$ is non-constant, we can choose a non-empty open interval $I \subset \operatorname{im}(\|2 v \mid U\|) \subset \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that

$$
\frac{1-\cos (t \lambda)}{\sin (t \lambda)-t \lambda}=\frac{1-\cos \left(t^{\prime} \lambda\right)}{\sin \left(t^{\prime} \lambda\right)-t^{\prime} \lambda}
$$

for all $\lambda \in I$. Both sides of this equation are analytic in $\lambda$ and thus the equality holds for all $\lambda \neq 0$. Comparison of the least positive roots gives $t=t^{\prime}$, that is, $T(v)$ consists of at most two points $\{0, t\}$ and we have case (1) of the theorem. If, on the other hand, $\|v \mid U\|$ is constant, then, in particular, $\nabla^{2}\left(\|v\|^{2}\right) \geqslant 0$ on $U$. Now the formula for $\langle\Psi(v, t), v\rangle$ above shows that $x \in M^{\prime}-U$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left\|v_{x}\right\|^{2}\left\langle\nabla^{2} v, v\right\rangle_{x}-\operatorname{trace}\langle R(\nabla v, v) \nabla v, v\rangle_{x} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\|v_{x}\right\|^{2}\left(\nabla^{2}\left(\|v\|^{2}\right)\right)_{x}-\operatorname{trace}\langle\nabla v, v\rangle_{x}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

using the formula for $R$ above, and so $\nabla^{2}\left(\|v\|^{2}\right) \geqslant 0$ on $M^{\prime}-U$. Since $M^{\prime} \subset M$ is dense we get $\nabla^{2}\left(\|v\|^{2}\right) \geqslant 0$ on $M$ and, by Hopf's lemma [5, Vol. II, p. 338], compactness of $M$ implies that $\|v\|$ is constant. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\|v\|=1$. Since the antipodal map of $S^{n}$ is an isometry, $\pi Z \subset T(v)$ is always satisfied. Thus either $T(v)=\pi Z$ and we have case (2), or there exists $t_{0} \in(0, \pi) \cap T(v)$. Then

$$
\left\langle\Psi\left(v, t_{0}\right), v\right\rangle=\left(\cos \left(2 t_{0}\right)-1\right) \operatorname{trace}\left\langle f_{*}, \nabla v\right\rangle=0
$$

and so trace $\left\langle f_{*}, \nabla v\right\rangle=0$. Using this and the fact that $\|v\|$ is constant, one can easily check that $\Psi(v, t)=0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, that is, $v \in V(f)$ and we have case (3). The proof is complete.

Remark 1. If $v \in J(f)$ and $f_{t}=\exp \circ(t v), t \in \mathbb{R}$, is harmonic in the direction $\tau_{t} v$, that is, if $\left\langle\tau\left(f_{t}\right), \tau_{t} v\right\rangle=0$, then $v \in V(f)$. Indeed, the hypothesis implies that $\langle\Psi(v, t), v\rangle=0$ and we can argue as above.

Remark 2. The Euler class of the tangent bundle of an even sphere is twice the generator which, in the case when $m=n$ and is even, yields that a harmonic map $f: M \rightarrow S^{n}$ with nontrivial variation space $V(f)$ must have Brouwer degree zero.

Remark 3. The energy $E\left(f_{t}\right)$ is constant along a harmonic variation $t \rightarrow f_{t}=\exp \circ(t v), v \in V(f)$, since $f_{t}$ is a critical point of $E$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In fact, a more precise statement appears in [16], namely, that $v \in V(f)$ if and only if $v \in J(f)$ and the energy density $e\left(f_{t}\right)=\frac{1}{2}$ trace $\left\|\left(f_{t}\right)_{*}\right\|^{2}$, as a scalar on $M$, is the same for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

## 3. Rigidity

Let $f: M \rightarrow S^{n}$ be a harmonic map and put

$$
K(f)=\left\{v \in J(f) \mid \operatorname{trace}\left\langle f_{*}, \nabla v\right\rangle=0\right\} .
$$

Then $K(f) \subset J(f)$ is a linear subspace and, by Theorem 1,

$$
V(f)=\{v \in K(f) \mid\|v\| \text { is constant }\} .
$$

In what follows we compute $K(i)$, where $i: S^{m} \rightarrow S^{n}, m \leqslant n$, is the inclusion map. By $S^{n} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, vectors tangent to $S^{n}$ are identified with their translates at the origin. Then the canonical base vectors $e_{m+2}, \ldots, e_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ define $k(=n-m)$ orthonormal parallel sections $W^{1}, \ldots, W^{k}$ of the normal bundle of $i$. Assuming that $v \in K(i)$, the (orthogonal) decomposition

$$
v=W+\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left\langle v, W^{j}\right\rangle W^{j}, \quad W \in \mathfrak{X}\left(S^{m}\right)
$$

splits the Jacobi equation $J_{f} v=0$ into the system

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta\left\langle v, W^{j}\right\rangle+m\left\langle v, W^{j}\right\rangle=0, \quad j=1, \ldots k \\
\nabla^{2} W+(m-1) W=0
\end{gathered}
$$

(cf. [16]). It follows that since the scalar $\left\langle v, W^{j}\right\rangle$ is an eigenfunction of the Laplacian on $S^{m}$, it is the restriction of a homogeneous linear function on $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ [1], that is, there exists a unique vector $b_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ with $\left\langle v_{x}, W_{x}^{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle b_{j}, x\right\rangle, x \in S^{m}$. Moreover, denoting by $\beta$ the 1 -form on $S^{m}$ that corresponds to $W$ by duality, the last equation can be rewritten as

$$
\Delta \beta-2(m-1) \beta=0
$$

On the other hand, $0=\operatorname{trace}\left\langle i_{*}, \nabla v\right\rangle=\operatorname{trace}\left\langle i_{*}, \nabla W\right\rangle=-\nabla^{*} \beta$ and a result of A. Lichnerowicz [7, Proposition 1, p. 80], shows that $W \in \operatorname{so}(m+1)$ is a Killing vector field. The converse is obvious; thus we obtained the following.

Lemma 1. For the canonical embedding $i: S^{m} \rightarrow S^{n}$ the vector field $v$ along $i$ belongs to $K(i)$ if and only if the tangential part $W$ of $v$ is a Killing vector field and there exist vectors $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}, k=n-m$, such that

$$
v_{x}=W_{x}+\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left\langle b_{j}, x\right\rangle W_{x}^{j}, \quad x \in S^{m}
$$

where $\left\{W^{1}, \ldots, W^{k}\right\}$ is the canonical orthonormal system of parallel sections of the normal bundle of $i$.

In particular, $\operatorname{dim} K(i)=\frac{m(m+1)}{2}+(n-m)(m+1)$ and $K\left(i d_{s^{n}}\right)=s o(n+1)$. The last equality, in the case when $n \neq 2$, follows from a sharper result of R.T. Smith [13], in which he showed that $\operatorname{Null}\left(i d_{S^{n}}\right)=n(n+1) / 2$ or, equivalently, that $J\left(i d_{s^{n}}\right)=s o(n+1)$, if $n \neq 2$. Note, however, that, by the presence of conformal diffeomorphisms, $\operatorname{dim} J\left(i d_{s^{2}}\right)=6$ (cf. [12]). Furthermore, using Lemma 1, we have the following.

Proposition. If $f: M \rightarrow S^{n}$ is a harmonic Riemannian submersion and $v \in K(f)$ is
projectable, that is, if $f(x)=f\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ implies that $v_{x}=v_{x^{\prime}}, x, x^{\prime} \in M$, then $v=X \circ f$, where $X \in \operatorname{so}(n+1)$. If, moreover, $v \in V(f)$ then $f_{t}=\exp \circ(t v)=\phi_{t} \circ f, t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\left(\phi_{t}\right)$ is the 1-parameter group of isometries induced by $X$.

Proof. As $v$ takes its values in $T\left(S^{n}\right)$ there is a unique vector field $X$ on the codomain $S^{n}$ such that $v=X \circ f$ is valid. By choosing suitable local orthonormal frames in $M$ and in $S^{n}$ we obtain $\nabla^{2} v=\nabla^{2}(X \circ f)=\left(\nabla^{2} X\right) \circ f$ (cf. [17, Proposition 2]) and hence

$$
\left(\nabla^{2} X\right) \circ f=\operatorname{trace} R\left(f_{*}, v\right) f_{*}=\left(\operatorname{trace} R\left(\left(i d_{S^{n}}\right)_{*}, X\right)\left(i d_{S_{n}}\right)_{*}\right) \circ f
$$

Similarly, trace $\left\langle f_{*}, \nabla v\right\rangle=\operatorname{trace}\left\langle\left(i d_{s} n\right)_{*}, \nabla X\right\rangle \circ f$. These two equations imply that $X \in K\left(i d_{s_{n}}\right)$ and so, applying Lemma 1 in the case when $m=n$, we get $X \in \operatorname{so}(n+1)$. If $v \in V(f)$ then $\|X\|$ is constant and thus for any vector field $Y$ on $S^{n}$ we have

$$
\left\langle Y, \nabla_{X} X\right\rangle=-\left\langle X, \nabla_{Y} X\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{2} Y\|X\|^{2}=0
$$

(cf. [5]). It follows that $\nabla_{X} X=0$ on $S^{n}$ or equivalently that every integral curve $t \rightarrow \phi_{t}(x), t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in S^{n}$, of $X$ is a geodesic. Hence

$$
f_{t}(x)=\exp \left(t v_{x}\right)=\exp \left(t X_{f(x)}\right)=\phi_{t}(f(x))
$$

for all $x \in M$; this completes the proof.
A harmonic map $f: M \rightarrow S^{n}$ is said to be infinitesimally rigid if for every projectable $v \in K(f)$ there exists $X \in \operatorname{so}(n+1)$ such that the equation $v=X \circ f$ holds. (Note that $X \circ f \in K(f)$ is always satisfied for $X \in \operatorname{so}(n+1)$ ). The map $f$ is locally rigid if for every projectable harmonic variation $v$ there exists a 1-parameter subgroup $\left(\phi_{t}\right) \subset O(n+1)$ such that $f_{t}=\exp \circ(t v)=\phi_{t} \circ f$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. (Obviously, isometries of the codomain preserve infinitesimal and local rigidity.) The reason for the use of $K(f)$ to denote infinitesimal rigidity is that the set of all infinitesimal isometries of $S^{n}$ precomposed by $f$ forms a linear space which suggests a choice of $K(f)$ defined by linear constraints. As for local rigidity, for projectable $v=X \circ f \in K(f)$, we cannot expect $\exp \circ(t X \circ f)=\phi_{t} \circ f$ to be valid for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\left(\phi_{t}\right)$ denotes the 1-parameter group of isometries induced by $X$. Hence, in the definition of local rigidity, we have to restrict ourselves to the subset $V(f) \subset K(f)$ (which is not a linear subspace in general, cf. [17]). Note that in all the known examples, infinitesimal and local rigidities are equivalent. By the above proposition, any harmonic Riemannian submersion is infinitesimally and locally rigid.

Theorem 2. The canonical inclusion map $i: S^{m} \rightarrow S^{n}$ is infinitesimally and locally rigid.

Proof. Let $v \in K(i)$ be fixed and consider the decomposition of $v$ in Lemma 1. For $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, let $M(p, q)$ be the vector space of $(p \times q)$-matrices; $M(p, q)=L\left(\mathbb{R}^{q}, \mathbb{R}^{p}\right)$. Finally, write $B \in M(k, m+1), k=n-m$, for the matrix whose rows are $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, and which occurs in the decomposition of $v$. By identifying the tangent vectors of $S^{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with their translates at the origin, the vector field $v$ along $f$ gives rise to a matrix $A \in M(n+1, m+1)$ with upper block $W \in \operatorname{so}(m+1)$
and lower block $B \in M(k, m+1)$ such that $v_{x}=A x$ holds for all $x \in S^{m}$. If $Y \in s o(k)$ is any skew-symmetric matrix then

$$
X=\left[\begin{array}{c|c}
W & -B^{T}  \tag{D}\\
\hline B & Y
\end{array}\right] \in \operatorname{so}(n+1)
$$

is a Killing vector field on $S^{n}$ with $v=X \circ i$ and hence $i: S^{m} \rightarrow S^{n}$ is infinitesimally rigid.

Now let $v \in V(i)$ with $\|v\|=1$. Then, for $x \in S^{m}$, we have

$$
1=\|v\|^{2}=\|A x\|^{2}=\left\langle A^{T} A x, x\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(W^{T} W+B^{T} B\right) x, x\right\rangle
$$

that is, we get $W^{T} W+B^{T} B=I_{m+1}$ (the identity). (In particular, by the identification $K(i)=s o(m+1) \times M(k, m+1)$ the variation space of $i$ has the form $V(i)=\mathbb{R}\left\{(W, B) \in K(i) \mid-W^{2}+B^{T} B=I_{m+1}\right\}$.) To prove local rigidity, we have to find $Y \in \operatorname{so}(k)$ such that the corresponding Killing vector field $X$ above has the property that the integral curve $t \rightarrow \phi_{t}(x)=e^{t X} x$ of $X$ is a geodesic for all $x \in S^{m}$. This holds if and only if $\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} e^{t X} x=-e^{t x} x$, that is, if and only if $X^{2} x=-x$ for all $x \in S^{m}$. By computing $X^{2}$ in terms of the blocks of $X$ and using the fact that $-W^{2}+B^{T} B=I_{m+1}$, we have to choose $Y \in s o(k)$ so as to satisfy the equality

$$
B W+Y B=0
$$

Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ be the canonical base vectors and let $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$ denote the columns of $B$. Having in mind that the above equation must be valid we define $Y c_{j}=-B W e_{j}, j=1, \ldots, m+1$. Then $Y$ is skew on the vectors $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m+1}$ since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle Y c_{j}, c_{j^{\prime}}\right\rangle & =-\left\langle B W e_{j}, B e_{j^{\prime}}\right\rangle=-\left\langle W e_{j}, B^{T} B e_{j^{\prime}}\right\rangle=-\left\langle W e_{j},\left(W^{2}+I_{m+1}\right) e_{j^{\prime}}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle e_{j}, W\left(W^{2}+I_{m+1}\right) e_{j^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\left\langle e_{j},\left(W^{2}+I_{m+1}\right) W e_{j^{\prime}}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\left(W^{2}+I_{m+1}\right) e_{j}, W e_{j^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\left\langle B^{T} B e_{j}, W e_{j^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\left\langle B e_{j}, B W e_{j^{\prime}}\right\rangle \\
& =-\left\langle c_{j}, Y c_{j^{\prime}}\right\rangle, \quad j, j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, m+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting $V=\operatorname{span}\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m+1}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ we define

$$
Y x=\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \alpha_{j} Y c_{j}=-\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \alpha_{j} B\left(W e_{j}\right) \in V
$$

where $x=\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \alpha_{j} c_{j} \in V$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle Y x, c_{j^{\prime}}\right\rangle & =\left\langle\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \alpha_{j} Y c_{j}, c_{j^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \alpha_{j}\left\langle Y c_{j}, c_{j^{\prime}}\right\rangle \\
& =-\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \alpha_{j}\left\langle c_{j}, Y c_{j^{\prime}}\right\rangle=-\left\langle x, Y c_{j^{\prime}}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $Y$ is well defined (that is, $Y x$ does not depend on the particular decomposition of $x$ ) and skew on $V$. Extending $Y \mid V$ to a skew-symmetric linear endomorphism $Y \in \operatorname{so}(m+1)$ the equation $B W+Y B=0$ holds; this completes the proof.

Remark 1. An immediate consequence of Theorem 2 is that any harmonic Riemannian submersion $f: M \rightarrow S^{n}$ onto a totally geodesic submanifold of $S^{n}$ is infinitesimally and locally rigid.

Indeed, assuming that $\operatorname{im} f=S^{m} \subset S^{n}$, any projectable vector field $v$ along $f$ can be written uniquely as $v=w \circ f$, where $w$ is a vector field along the inclusion $i: S^{m} \rightarrow S^{n}$. If, moreover, $v \in K(f)$ then by choosing suitable local orthonormal frames a similar computation as in the proof of Proposition 2 in [17] shows that

$$
\nabla^{2} v=\left(\nabla^{2} w\right) \circ f=\operatorname{trace} R\left(f_{*}, v\right) f_{*}=\left(\operatorname{trace} R\left(i_{*}, w\right) i_{*}\right) \circ f
$$

and trace $\left\langle f_{*}, \nabla v\right\rangle=\left(\right.$ trace $\left.\left\langle i_{*}, \nabla w\right\rangle\right) \circ f$, that is, $w \in K(i)$. Thus Theorem 2 implies the existence of a vector field $X \in \operatorname{so}(n+1)$ with $X \circ i=w$ and it follows that $X \circ f=v$, which completes the proof in the infinitesimal case. As for local rigidity, if $v \in V(f)$ is as above then $w \in V(i)$ and, again by Theorem 2, we can choose $X \in \operatorname{so}(n+1)$ so as to satisfy $\nabla_{X} X \circ i=0$. Thus $\nabla_{X} X \circ f=0$ which implies local rigidity of $f$.

Remark 2. From the proof of Theorem 2 we see that $V\left(i d_{s^{n}}\right)=s o(n+1) \cap \mathbb{R S O}(n+1)$, in particular, $V\left(i d_{s^{n}}\right)=\{0\}$ for $n$ even. (This follows also from Remark 2 after Theorem 1.)

For odd spheres a stronger version of Theorem 2 is valid. First we state the following lemma, due to A. Lee.

Lemma 2. Let $W \in \operatorname{so}(m+1)$ and $B \in M(k, m+1)$ with $-W^{2}+B^{T} B=I_{m+1}$. If $n=k+m$ is odd then the equation $B W+Y B=0$ has a solution $Y$ such that the corresponding matrix $X$ in $(\mathrm{D})$ belongs to so $(n+1) \cap O(n+1)$.

Proof. We verify the statement for $k \geqslant m+1$ and $m=2 r-1, r \in \mathbb{N}$. (The other cases can be treated similarly.) By the singular values decomposition of matrices, there exist $V \in O(k)$ and $U \in O(m+1)$ such that $B=V \Sigma U^{T}$, where

$$
\Sigma=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\rho_{1} & & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& 0 & & \\
& & & \rho_{2 r} \\
\hline & & 0 &
\end{array}\right] \in M(k, m+1)
$$

and $\rho_{1} \geqslant \ldots \geqslant \rho_{2 r}$. (The numbers $\rho_{j}, j=1, \ldots, 2 r$, are often called the singular values of B.) Then $B^{T} B=U \operatorname{diag}\left(\rho_{1}^{2}, \ldots, \rho_{2 r}^{2}\right) U^{T}$ and using the equation $-W^{2}+B^{T} B=I_{m+1}$ we have $-W^{2}=U \operatorname{diag}\left(1-\rho_{1}^{2}, \ldots, 1-\rho_{2 r}^{2}\right) U^{T}$. On the other
hand, $U$ diagonalizes $W^{T} W$ and hence, by an appropriate choice of $U$, we have $W=U \Lambda U^{T}$ where

$$
\Lambda=\operatorname{diag}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \lambda_{1} \\
-\lambda_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right], \ldots,\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \lambda_{r} \\
-\lambda_{r} & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \in \operatorname{so}(m+1)
$$

Thus, computing $W^{2}=U \Lambda^{2} U^{T}$, we get $\rho_{2 j-1}=\rho_{2 j}$, that is, $\lambda_{j}^{2}+\sigma_{j}^{2}=1$, where $\sigma_{j}=\rho_{2 j}, j=1, \ldots, r$. Because $k-(m+1)=k-2 r$ is even we can define $Y=V(\Lambda \oplus E) V^{T} \in \operatorname{so}(k)$, where

$$
E=\operatorname{diag}\left(\left[\begin{array}{rr}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right], \ldots,\left[\begin{array}{rr}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \in \operatorname{so}(k-2 r)
$$

Then $B W+Y B=V(\Sigma \Lambda-E \Sigma) U^{T}=0$ and a staightforward computation shows that the matrix $X$ in $(\mathrm{D})$ is orthogonal; this completes the proof.

Theorem 3. If $n$ is odd and $v$ is a harmonic variation of the canonical inclusion map i: $S^{m} \rightarrow S^{n}$ then there exists a Killing vector field $X \in$ so $(n+1)$ of constant norm on $S^{n}$ such that $v=X \circ i$.

Proof. Keeping the notation of the proof of Theorem 2, let $v \in V(i)$, where $\|v\|$ is a constant, say 1 , and write $W \in \operatorname{so}(m+1)$ and $B \in M(k, m+1)$ for the matrices defined by $v$. Choosing $Y \in \operatorname{so}(k)$ as in Lemma 2 we have $v=X \circ i$ and $\left\|X_{x}\right\|^{2}=\|X x\|^{2}=\|x\|^{2}=1$ for all $x \in S^{n}$. Thus the theorem is proved.

Remark. Theorem 2 can also be proved by using the singular values decomposition; however, we preferred to give an elementary and direct construction for a solution of the equation $B W+Y B=0$ in $s o(k)$.

Returning to the general situation, let $f: M \rightarrow S^{n}$ be an infinitesimally rigid harmonic map and assume that $\operatorname{im} f \subset S_{0}=\exp \left(f_{*}\left(T_{x_{0}}(M)\right)\right.$ for some $x_{0} \in M$. If $v \in V(f)$ is projectable then infinitesimal rigidity of $f$ implies the existence of a Killing vector field $X \in \operatorname{so}(n+1)$ with $v=X \circ f$. Moreover, as $\|X \circ f\|=\|v\|$ is constant it follows that $\|X\|$ is constant on a relatively open neighbourhood of $x_{0}$ in $S_{0}$. Thus $\left\|X \mid S_{0}\right\|$ is constant, that is, $X \circ i_{0} \in V\left(i_{0}\right)$, where $i_{0}: S_{0} \rightarrow S^{n}$ is the canonical inclusion. By local rigidity of $i_{0}$ there exists $\tilde{X} \in \operatorname{so}(n+1)$ such that $\tilde{X} \circ i_{0}=X \circ i_{0}$ and the integral curves $t \rightarrow \tilde{\phi}_{t}(x), t \in \mathbb{R}$, of $\tilde{X}$ are geodesics for all $x \in S_{0}$. Hence $f$ is locally rigid and we have proved the following.

Theorem 4. Let $f: M \rightarrow S^{n}$ be an infinitesimally rigid harmonic map with $\operatorname{im} f \subset \exp \left(f_{*}\left(T_{x_{0}}(M)\right)\right)$ for some $x_{0} \in M$. Then $f$ is locally rigid.

The condition for the image of $f$ is satisfied if either $f$ has maximal rank, with surjective $f_{*}$, at some $x_{0} \in M$, or $f$ is totally geodesic. (In the latter case $f$ maps geodesics onto geodesics and a straightforward argument shows that im $f \subset S^{n}$ is a totally geodesic submanifold.)

## 4. Metric spaces of locally rigid harmonic embeddings

Write $\operatorname{Harm}\left(M, S^{n}\right)$ for the set of all harmonic maps $f: M \rightarrow S^{n}$. Two maps $f$
and $f^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{Harm}\left(M, S^{n}\right)$ are called equivalent, $f \sim f^{\prime}$, if there exist maps $f^{0}, \ldots, f^{k+1} \in \operatorname{Harm}\left(M, S^{n}\right)$ and vectors $v^{j} \in V\left(f^{j}\right), j=0, \ldots, k$, such that $f^{0}=f, f^{k+1}=f^{\prime}$ and $\exp \circ v^{j}=f^{j+1}, j=0, \ldots, k$. Clearly $\sim$ is an equivalence relation. If $f \sim f^{\prime}$, write $\rho\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)$ for the infimum of the numbers $\sum_{j=0}^{k}\left\|v^{j}\right\|$ for which $v^{j} \in V\left(f^{j}\right), \exp \circ v^{j}=f^{j+1}, j=0, \ldots, k$ and $f^{0}=f, f^{k+1}=f^{\prime}$. Thus $\rho$ is a distance function on the equivalence class $N(f) \subset \operatorname{Harm}\left(M, S^{n}\right)$ containing the harmonic map $f: M \rightarrow S^{n}$.

Our present aim is to determine to what extent $N(f)$ depends on the particular choice of $f$. In this way, for locally rigid harmonic embeddings $f: M \rightarrow S^{n}$ (where $V\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ is the same for all $f^{\prime} \in N(f)$ ), the problem of describing $N(f)$ is reduced to that of describing $N(i)$, where $i: S^{m} \rightarrow S^{n}$ is the canonical inclusion map for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $f: M \rightarrow S^{n}$ be a map and define the span of $f$ by $S_{0}(f)=S^{n} \cap \operatorname{spanim} f$, where $\operatorname{im} f$ is considered as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\left(\supset S^{n}\right)$.

Theorem 5. Let $f: M \rightarrow S^{n}$ be a locally rigid harmonic embedding with $m$-dimensional span. Then there exists a bijection $\Psi: V(f) \rightarrow V(i)$ preserving the additive relations, where $i: S^{m} \rightarrow S^{n}$ is the inclusion map. Moreover the metric spaces $N(f)$ and $N(i)$ are isometric.

Proof. We may suppose that the span of $f$ is $S^{m} \subset S^{n}$. If $v \in V(f)$ then local rigidity of $f$ implies the existence of a Killing vector field $X \in s o(n+1)$ such that we have $v=X \circ f$ and $\left(\nabla_{X} X\right) \circ f=0$. Denote by $F$ that (arcwise) connected component of the zero locus of $\nabla_{X} X$ which contains im $f$. As in the proof of Theorem 2, $F$ consists of eigenvectors of $X^{2}$, that is, $X^{2} x=-\|X x\|^{2} x$ for all $x \in F$. The eigenvalues of $X^{2}$ form a discrete set and hence $\|X\|$ is a constant, say $c$, on $F$ and $F \subset S^{n}$ on a totally geodesic submanifold, since it is the intersection of the linear subspace $\operatorname{ker}\left(X^{2}-c^{2} I_{m+1}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ with $S^{m} \subset F$; thus we obtain that $X \circ i \in V(i)$.

If $X \in \operatorname{so}(n+1)$ with $v=\tilde{X} \circ f$ and $\left(\nabla_{\tilde{X}} \tilde{X}\right) \circ f=0$ then $(X-\tilde{X}) \circ f=0$. Since the connected components of the zero locus of a Killing vector field are totally geodesic submanifolds [4, Theorem 5.4, p. 62], it follows that $(X-\tilde{X}) \mid S^{m}=0$, that is, $X \circ i=\tilde{X} \circ i$. Define $\Psi: V(f) \rightarrow V(i)$ by $\Psi(v)=X \circ i$, where $X \in \operatorname{so}(n+1)$ with $X \circ f=v$ and $\left(\nabla_{X} X\right) \circ f=0$. By the above reasoning, $\Psi$ is well-defined and injective and it preserves the additive relations. Local rigidity of the inclusion map $i: S^{m} \rightarrow S^{n}$ implies that $\Psi$ is surjective, which proves the first statement. As for the second, define $\Phi: N(f) \rightarrow N(i)$ such that, for $f^{\prime} \in N(f), \Phi\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ is the inclusion of the span $S_{0}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ of $f^{\prime}$ into $S^{n}$. A straightforward argument shows that $\Phi$ maps $N(f)$ onto $N(i)$ isometrically; this completes the proof.

Remark. If $f: T^{2} \rightarrow S^{3}$ is a harmonic embedding with $e(f)=\frac{1}{2}$ then $S_{0}(f)=S^{3}$. An easy computation shows that the geometric lattice of $i d_{s^{3}}$ has the form [17]

and, by [17], there is no bijection $\Psi: V(f) \rightarrow V\left(i d_{s^{3}}\right)$ preserving the additive relations. Again, we obtain that $f$ is not locally rigid.

Corollary. Let $f: M \rightarrow S^{n}$ be a locally rigid harmonic embedding with $n$ odd. Then there exists a contractive surjection $\theta: N\left(i d_{s^{n}}\right) \rightarrow N(f)$.

Proof. By the previous theorem it is enough to consider the case when $f=i: S^{m} \rightarrow S^{n}$. For $f^{\prime} \in N\left(i d_{s^{n}}\right.$, define $\theta\left(f^{\prime}\right)=f^{\prime} \circ i \in N(i)$. Clearly, the map $\theta: N\left(i d_{s_{n}}\right) \rightarrow N(i)$ is contractive. By Theorem 3 any $v \in V(i)$ can be extended to a harmonic variation of $i d_{S^{n}}$ which implies that $\theta$ is surjective.

## References

1. M. Berger, P. Gauduchon and E. Mazet, Le spectre d'une variété Riemannienne, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 194 (Springer, Berlin, 1971).
2. J. Eells and L. Lemaire, 'A report on harmonic maps', Bull. London Math. Soc., 10 (1978), 1-68.
3. P. Hartman, 'On homotopic harmonic maps', Canad. J. Math., 19 (1976), 673-687.
4. S. Kobayashi, Transformation groups in differential geometry, Ergebnisse der Mathematik 70 (Springer, Berlin, 1972).
5. S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, Foundations of differential geometry, Vols. I-II (John Wiley \& Sons, New York, 1963, 1969).
6. P. F. Leung, 'On the stability of harmonic maps', preprint, University of Notre Dame, 1981.
7. A. Lichnerovicz, 'Variétés Kähleriennes à première classe de Chern non negative et variétés Riemanniennes à courbure Ricci généralisée non negative', J. Differential Geom., 6 (1971), 47-94.
8. E. Mazet, 'La formule de la variation seconde de l'énergie au voisinage d'une application harmonique', J. Differential Geom., 8 (1973), 279-296.
9. O. Nouhaud, 'Déformationes infinitésimales harmoniques', Rend. Mat. (6), 10 (1977), 87-108.
10. R. Schoen and S. T. Yau, 'Compact group actions and the topology of manifolds with non-positive curvature', Topology, 18 (1979), 361-380.
11. J. Simons, 'Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds', Ann. of Math., 88 (1968), 62-105.
12. R. T. Smith, 'Harmonic mappings of spheres', Thesis, Warwick University, 1972.
13. R. T. Smith, 'The second variation formula for harmonic mappings', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 47 (1975), 229-236.
14. T. Sunada, 'Rigidity of certain harmonic mappings', Invent. Math., 51 (1979), 297-307.
15. G. То́tн, 'On variations of harmonic maps into spaces of constant curvature', Annali di Matematica (4), 127 (1982), 389-399.
16. G. TÓth, 'On harmonic maps into locally symmetric Riemannian manifolds', Conference an invariant metrics, harmonic mappings and related topics, Symposia Mathematica 26 (Instituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica, Roma, 1982).
17. G. Тотт, 'Construction des applications harmoniques non rigides d'un tore dans las sphère', Annals of global analysis and geometry, to appear.

Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-1053 Budapest,<br>Reàltanoda u. 13-15, Hungary.

